Exploring Lyric Tropes
(M. Williamson, Sappho’s Immortal Daughters, Cambridge, MA 1995, and other recent work on Sappho)

Habent sua fata libelli, and although scholarly reviews perhaps constitute the most 'subjective' genre of scholarly writing, this review article sets out to explore a neglected sub-genre: I shall trace the history of the scholarly reception of a particular book on Sappho and place it within the wider context of recent publications on Sappho’s fragments and their reception.

Scholarship on Sappho has proliferated in recent years to such a degree that university teachers in the fields of Classics and Comparative Literature are baffled as to which book they should include in their reading lists for courses on ancient Greek literature and other literatures or on gender and sexuality. Recent treatments, all appearing within a span of three years, include J. M. Snyder’s Lesbian Desire in the Lyrics of Sappho (New York 1997), E. Greene’s edited volume Reading Sappho: Contemporary Approaches (Berkeley 1996 [1997]), M. L. West’s Lectio Teubneriana Die griechische Dichterin. Bild und Rolle (Stuttgart/Leipzig 1996), L. H. Wilson’s Sappho’s Sweetbitter Songs: Configurations of Female and Male in Ancient Greek Lyric (London 1996), J. M. Snyder’s Sappho (New York 1995), and M. Williamson’s Sappho’s Immortal Daughters (Cambridge, Mass. 1995). Page duBois’ Sappho Is Burning (Chicago 1995) and Eva Stehle’s Performance and Gender in Ancient Greece: Non-dramatic Poetry in Its Setting (Princeton, NJ 1997) stand out in terms of their historical and analytical breadth. In the twenty-first century, numerous studies focusing on the modern reception of Sappho continue to appear. Most recently, a brilliant translation of Sappho’s fragments by Anne Carson was published, which combines a penetratively literal style with subtly poetic renderings. This book also contains brief notes on specific renderings and ancient sources relating to Sappho. It is altogether, to my mind, the best recent English translation of Sappho’s fragments through the voice of a poet and scholar of outstanding calibre. I have not attempted here to enumerate the articles that have been published in this same period; the bibliography on Sappho overall has become labyrinthine.

In this review article, I shall take M. Williamson’s Sappho’s Immortal Daughters as a case study. I shall focus on its contribution to current...
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research and its scholarly reception. This book, unprecedented in its unconventional use of scholarly practices, will be more broadly viewed in the context of other recent books and studies on Sappho. Published towards the end of 1995, Williamson’s book, comprised largely of useful summaries of the work of others, received glowing reviews in England, U.S., and Canada. To reviewers it seemed a "truly impressive" monograph which "offers a number of original insights about Sappho’s poems that will, no doubt, engage the most learned readers of Sappho" (Bryn Mawr Classical Review), a "persuasive" book that "sets out to recover something of the authentic Sapphic voice" (Greece and Rome), an "absorbing study" that uses "social, political, and literary materials that influenced and reflect Sappho’s experience" (Choice), a "thoroughly worthwhile" study (JACT Review) of individual poems which "produce a flesh-and-blood poet" (The Independent [UK]). "This book is important for the new readings Williamson suggests in boldly facing the question of Sappho’s historical milieu... Th[e] polished joinery ([sc.] in terms of the smooth transitions between different topics) is worthy of Dionysius’ tribute to Sappho in praising her fr. 1: like the immortal daughters Williamson’s book possesses λειτοτης των ἀρμονιῶν" (Phoenix). The only more balanced, brief review of the book was published in Helios.6

Τό θόρυβος ὅδε, Pratinas would exclaim. While it is a valuable asset for its summaries of scholarship on the subject, why are all these reviews suggesting that this is an original landmark book? At a time when particularly sophisticated or even pathfinding studies receive harsh or hostile critiques, eminently useful handbooks such as this, are praised for their originality and intellectual fervor. Given this enigmatic intellectual climate, it seems important to re-examine specific scholarly perceptual filters and to somewhat challenge the current genre of reviewing academic contributions.

Williamson’s book is certainly not hastily written. Not intended for scholars of ancient Greek literature and society or for comparatists, it assumes no knowledge of ancient Greek and Latin, and offers a basic treatment of the subject for the uninitiated. Its authoritative style, however, can be misleading and may do more harm than good for undergraduate students. Its main contribution is to summarize scholarly views on specific aspects of Sappho’s poetic corpus and the testimonia about her. The book’s unique contribution lies in its elegance in compiling the results of recent research in a unified narrative. Although there is a short bibliography enumerating the works on which it depends, there are no footnotes to guide the reader through the original and secondary sources for further research. While this, in one sense, suggests
the unprecedented scope of the book, it is a substantial limitation for
readers who seek to pursue any specific topic.

Chapter one provides a treatment of a few aspects of the ancient
reception of Sappho. Unfortunately, this is neither thorough nor
theoretically informed. The chapter sets out with an image that John J.
Winkler evoked in *The Constraints of Desire*: the interestingly blank page in
the entry on Sappho in Monique Wittig and Sande Zeig's dictionary
*Lesbian Peoples*. This is perhaps a programmatic statement about the
scope of the book, since Winkler sets out his chapter on Sappho in exactly
the same way. In this chapter, Williamson initially examines the vase-
paintings depicting Sappho (pp. 6-7). Despite Ellen Greene's encomiastic
tone in her review of this part of the book: "Williamson does an excellent
job of surveying the images of Sappho that flourished in antiquity", this is
a rather unsophisticated analysis. She omits a couple of important vases,
and while she mentions J. M. Snyder's *The Woman and the Lyre*
(Carbondale 1989) in her "reading notes" (short bibliography) at the end
of the book, she seems unaware of an image of Sappho preserved on a
vase in Ruhr-Universität, Bochum and mentioned by Snyder. In her
discussion of the well-known legend of Sappho and Phaon, her direct
debt to Gregory Nagy and Eva Stehle's work on the story becomes
evident, but is not acknowledged, since the author has chosen to include
no footnotes. Examples such as this may actually create problems for
undergraduates, who, unfamiliar with the secondary bibliography, may
deed the book highly original. The rest of this chapter consists of a
summary and discussion of some testimonia related to Sappho in the
Hellenistic period, as well as in Roman times (a particularly unsatisfactory
treatment); such testimonia have already been conveniently collected by
David Campbell in his *Greek Lyric I* (Cambridge, Mass. 1982). Will-
liamson's authoritative, at times even over-confident, tone suggesting
mastery of the complexities of the subject, obfuscates the difficulties in
approaching Sappho 'anthropologically'.

Among these difficulties is the nature of the textual transmission of
Sappho's poetry. In chapter two, Williamson embarks on an exploration
of this transmission, but originality and rigor are lacking. "Papyrus in
Print" is a non-technical sketch of a highly complicated subject. The
example of a hypothetically fragmentary version of Hamlet's "To be or
not to be" soliloquy discussed in this chapter might possibly suggest that
the author is not well versed in actual cases of Greek fragments that were
originally edited and reconstructed anew, but later joined with other
papyrus scraps that preserved parts of the same text. In fact, Sappho's
fragments themselves provide such cases. Should the author have
desired a powerful modern illustration for the sake of uninitiated readers,
she could have explored, for example, the evocative image that James Merrill's "Losing the Marbles" from the collection *The Inner Room* (1988) subtly conjures up.¹³
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The body, favorite trope of our youthful poets...
With it they gleaned, as at the sibyl's tripod,
insight too prompt and vital for words.
Her sleepless frenzy—
cataract and moonshaft, peaks of sheer fire at dawn,
dung-dusted violets, the stiffening dew—
said it best. Unutterable too
was the beloved's
save through the index of refraction a fair, slowly
turned head sustained in the deep look that fixed him.
From then on veining summer nights with
flickering ichor,
he had joined an elite scornful—as were, Charmides,
your first, chiseled verses—of decrepitude
in any form. Now, however, that
their figures also
begin to slip the mind—while the body everywhere
with peasant shrewdness plunders and puts to use
what we cannot—from the hut's lintel
gleams one flawed image;
another, cast up by frost or earthquake, shines white as
sliced turnip from a furrow on the field's brow.
Humbly our old poets knew to make
wanderings into
homecomings of a sort—harbor, palace, temple, all
having been quarried out of those blue foothills
no further off, these last clear autumn
days, than infancy.

Unfortunately, chapter two constitutes a rather over-simplified and
romanticized account of the problems that critical editors and historians
of textual transmission actually encounter. Once more, Williamson misses
an opportunity to examine constructively the fragmentary nature of the
Sapphic corpus, which would have provided a far greater contribution.

Chapters three, four, and five are beautifully written, but the reader
will find few original insights here. Snyder’s Lesbian Desire in the Lyrics of
Sappho (1997), and Eva Stehle’s Performance and Gender in Ancient Greece,

chapter 6 (1997), offer considerably more engaging discussion and analysis of the fragments, while the (almost all previously published) articles collected in Greene's 1996 volume *Reading Sappho: Contemporary Approaches* successfully reflect all major trends in post-seventies scholarship on Sappho.\(^{14}\) It is, in fact, the theses of these articles that constitute the main core of Williamson's book.\(^{15}\) Chapter five is based on an article by Williamson herself that has been included in Greene's volume.\(^{16}\) This is the only chapter in the book with any attempt at originality, although it seems that Williamson's ability to understand the subtleties of fragmentary texts is undermined by a somewhat tenuous comprehension of Sappho's literary dialect and the problems of its transmission.\(^{17}\)

More specifically, the book's elegance is marred by an occasional lack of lucidity in writing and in conception\(^{18}\) and by the frequent reliance on borrowed stereotypes (e.g., "[m]any of her songs have a devotional aspect, and in some cases they can even be linked with known festivals or cults", p. 79), inaccuracies (e.g., "By far the largest of the Aegean islands, Lesbos...", p. 63), sweeping statements (e.g., "book 1 contained, we are told, as many as 1320 lines, ... and another consisted entirely of songs written for wedding celebrations", p. 40),\(^{19}\) and general frequent use of the ideas of others (see above on Winkler, Nagy, and Stehle).\(^{20}\) Williamson seems disturbed by the work of male critical editors, who, according to her, "consider it their job to reconstruct the text wherever they can" and who "are no more immune to their appeal [of objective reconstructions] than anyone else" (p. 37). This leads her to a contemplation of the fact that "the fate of Sappho's surviving words, as of her reputation, has still been overwhelmingly in the hands of men!" despite the fact that the Florentine ostragon "was published by an Italian papyrologist called Medea Norsa, the only woman to have edited Sappho..." (p. 58).

Among other odd features of the book is that it implicitly employs the scholarly tendencies it otherwise criticizes. On page 136, we hear that "the damaged section at the end of the poem [sc., Sappho, fr. 17] seems to include part of the word *parthenos*, which may indicate a group of young women as singers", but all that is actually preserved is * yapô*. On page 140, Williamson engages in biographical criticism, dating Sappho 5 V., the fragment on the poetic voice's brother who άμμηρος (= ημαρτε) in the past: "it is tempting to think that Sappho wrote this poem when she was herself still a parthenos – but we can do no more than speculate." There is no attempt to ponder how fragments such as Sappho fr. 5 could have survived in the sixth and fifth centuries B.C.E., given the so-called 'personal' character of the poem.\(^{21}\)
Perhaps more important, this book rarely shows any traces of healthy scepticism. In the latter example, the author adopts the view that ‘early texts of Sappho may have taken some forms unfamiliar to us. Other poets of this period are said to have dedicated their poems in temples, in copies written in materials like lead or gold; since some of Sappho’s poetry is devotional, she may well have done the same” (p. 38; my emphasis). But how were ‘poems’ such as Sappho fr. 5 V. handed down to the classical or the early Hellenistic periods? The attempt to avoid scholarly sophistication, presumably because this is an introductory book, makes Williamson’s discussion end in an unsatisfying aporia. The sources about the forms of transmission that are ‘unfamiliar to us” can be found in John Herington’s book Poetry into Drama: Early Tragedy and the Greek Poetic Tradition (Berkeley 1985).22 Keeping in mind that the notions of ‘oral” and "written" in archaic Greece should be viewed not as absolute binary oppositions, but as parts of a qualitative continuum from oral modes to written modes, I would hold that Sappho’s poems may well have been written down already in Mytilene not long after she became well-known. We know that Sappho became a known cultural figure in Lesbos and in Athens, if not elsewhere, by the late sixth century, and that as late as the second century C.E. the Mytileneans were cutting coins with her face on them.23 Further, in a fifth century B.C.E. red-figure hydria in Athens, Sappho is depicted seated reading from a papyrus scroll, while other female figures stand around her.24 Not only does this image indicate that Sappho was already being associated in the Athenian imaginary with the by then established book trade in Athens, it also suggests, I argue, that she was thought of as a celebrated archaic poet who could potentially be read too, should relative literacy have allowed. Both in the archaic and the classical period, however, the primary medium of transmission was the performance and re-performance of her compositions – which continued for many years till ‘Sappho wandernde’ reached Alexandria. In the end, what may be ‘unfamiliar to us” is predominantly oral societies which, however, preserve in any possible way the ‘songs’ of renowned contemporary or near contemporary poets.

Although useful as a summary, at the time of its publication in 1995/1996, this book did not make a substantial contribution to the field.25 Eight years after its appearance, it has not challenged any scholarly assumptions and has provoked little critical re-consideration of the analysis of specific fragments. Perhaps of greater importance, from an anthropological perspective Sappho’s Immortal Daughters does little to re-capture the complexity of related cultural aspects of archaic, classical, Hellenistic, and Roman Greece. Even considered as an introduction, its unconventional approach to citation and its authoritative style present
serious challenges for readers who might wish to use it to pursue further research. Future investigations, I believe, will find more fruitful ground in the work of John Winkler,\textsuperscript{26} Gregory Nagy,\textsuperscript{27} Claude Calame,\textsuperscript{28} and Page duBois, among others\textsuperscript{29}.

Notes


2 Chapter 6 of Stehle’s book is devoted to Sappho, although Stehle herself states that to a certain degree "the first five chapters are preliminary, providing context for Sappho" (p. ix).


5 Appearing at almost the same time is the translation of Stanley Lombardo, \textit{Sappho: Poems and Fragments} (Indianapolis/Cambridge 2002), with a very informative introduction by Pamela Gordon. This Hackett edition offers a much less literal translation and no facing Greek text. Lombardo’s renderings are interesting and provocative. Among the numerous recent English translations is Robert Chandler’s \textit{Sappho} (London 1998), with an introduction by Richard Jenkyns.


7 Even in terms of style the book is no more elegant than any other book on Sappho that appeared around that time (for example, L. H. Wilson’s \textit{Sappho’s sweetbitter songs: Configurations of female and male in ancient Greek lyric}, 1996).

8 J. J. Winkler, \textit{The Constraints of Desire: The Anthropology of Sex and...
Gender in Ancient Greece, New York 1990, 162.


Cf. Snyder’s review in Helios 23 (1996), 194.

See, for example, the New Simonides fragments edited by Professor Peter J. Parsons in 1992: on these texts see now D. Boeder and D. Sider (ed.), The New Simonides: Contexts of Praise and Desire, New York/Oxford 2001.

J. M. Edmonds’ edition Lyra Graeca I, London/New York 1928, is a valuable source for highly idiosyncratic and large-scale restorations of Sappho’s fragments. Williamson only briefly refers to such a case, that is, fr. 16 V. (p. 55), but, on Sappho 16, see Norman Austin’s insightful 1994 discussion (N. Austin, Helen of Troy and her Shameless Phantom, Ithaca, NY 1994, ch. 2). In this review article, fragment numbering follows that of Eva Maria Voigt’s edition Sappho et Alcaeus, Amsterdam 1971.


G. Lanata’s article translated for this volume was first published in 1966.

Along with the paper by H. Parker, reprinted in Greene’s Re-Reading Sappho.

"Sappho and the Other Woman", 248-64. Another version of this article was published in S. Mills (ed.), Language and Gender: Interdisciplinary Perspectives, London 1995, 76-94.

See, for example, her discussion of Sappho fr. 22 (pp. 253-54 in Greene’s collection).

For example, on page 137 Williamson writes with regard to fragment 5: "Yet, apart from the choice of deities, and the probable inclusion of the word 'sister' (part of it is missing, and other reconstructions are possible), there is little to mark the perspective of this poem as female." (my emphasis).


On p. 59 she quotes Ezra Pound’s poem "Papyrus", but she does not refer to Wilhelm Seebach’s well-known (to classicists) article "Ezra Pound und Sappho fr. 95 L. - P", A&A 16 (1970), 83-4 [cf. her p. 177], where he draws attention to Pound’s poem. In general, German or French bibliography is only rarely cited in her “reading notes” or explored in the book.

See Williamson, p. 139. For a possible antidote to such an approach, see the thought-provoking article by Michalis Z. Kopidakis, "Σαπφώ Φορμακολότρια», in Άντι Χρυσέων. Ἀφειώματα στὸν Ζήσιο Λορέντζο, Athens 1995, 333-346.

See mainly his appendix VI, pp. 201-203.


In terms of this vase, Williamson again misses an opportunity to study, let alone ‘read’, images of
Sappho in any depth. However, it is listed in the four-item bibliography that Williamson herself provided for her entry on Sappho in the third edition of the *Oxford Classical Dictionary* (1996).


* I am grateful to Sharri R. Clark, Gloria Ferrari Pinney, and Deborah Lyons for commenting on an early draft of this review article.

Περιληψη

Δημήτρης Γατρομανολάκης: Εξερευνώντας λυρικούς τρόπους

Το άρθρο αυτό επισκοπεί την πρόσφατη διεθνή βιολογική για τη Σαπφώ και τα αρχαία κοινωνικά και πολιτισμικά περιεχόμενα της ποίησής της, και επικεντρώνεται στις μεθοδολογικές προσεγγίσεις μιας συγκεκριμένης πρόσφατης μελέτης, προσφέροντας ενδεικτικές μεθοδολογικές προτάσεις για την εξέταση της πρώιμης κειμενικής παράδοσης της ποίησης της Σαπφώς.